



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/509,298	03/21/2000	JEAN-PIERRE HAUET	Q58185	8937
7.	590 12/13/2002			
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 PENNYLVANIA AVENUE NW SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3202			EXAMINER	
			GARLAND, STEVEN R	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

g

		V				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Ossian Antion Summany	09/509,298	HAUET, JEAN-PIERRE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Steven R Garland	2125				
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	/00					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/21/ 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 						
, 	s action is non-final.	accounting on to the movite in				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 March 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	` '				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 60 0.0.0. 3 1 10(a)	, (a) or (i).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	. ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the specification should be amended to include the appropriate section headings listed above.

Árt Unit: 2125

Appropriate correction is required.

- 3. The drawings are objected to because Figure 1 must be labeled Prior Art--. Also the various numbered elements should have suitable legends to identify what they are i.e. server 7; operating unit 2; etc.. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 14, "the HTTP type "lacks a proper antecedent basis.

Claim 1, line 21, "the time slots "lacks a proper antecedent basis.

Claim 1, line 24, "the priority interchange "lacks a proper antecedent basis.

Claim 2, line 5, "the site bus type "lacks a proper antecedent basis.

In claim 2, line 7, it is unclear what element or elements the optionally is referring to. Also in claim 2, line 13, "the HTTP server of a cluster unit "lacks a clear antecedent basis. In claim 21, line 21, it is unclear which server is being referred to.

Claim 3, line 15, "the HTTP/TCP/IP protocol" lacks a proper antecedent basis.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Árt Unit: 2125

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kastner 6,311,101.

Kastner teaches real time control using a server device of an industrial process, communication over the Internet using various protocols and the use of time slots. The server device additionally acts a server in a local area network. See the abstract; figures; col. 2, line13 to col. 3, line 21; col. 4, line 10 on.

- 8. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.
- 9. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Royal, Jr. et al.6,360,137.

Royal et al. teach devices with servers on a local network which can be accessed remotely over the Internet and real time control of various functions.

See the abstract; figures; col. 1, lines 8-18; col. 2, lines 5-67; col. 3, lines 1-31; col. 4, lines 4-67; col. 5, lines 17-58; col. 6, lines 1-11; col. 8, lines 1-67; and the claims.

Árt Unit: 2125

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Edlund et al. 6,085,227; Papadopoulos et al. 6,484,061; and

Eryurek 6,370,448 are all of interest in the use of devices with servers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 11.

examiner should be directed to Steven R Garland whose telephone number is 703-305-

9759. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday –Thursday from 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Leo Picard, can be reached on (703) 308-0538. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-746-7239; for

after final faxes 703-308-7238; and for non official faxes 703-746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

3900.

50-L

Steven R Garland

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 2125

2 P. Port LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100